

The Long-term Strategist

Strategic investing questions, by the dozen

- **Six questions on how one should put together a strategic portfolio and six on macro questions for the long-term investor.**
- ***How many assets do you really need?*** Two will do the job. One global equity fund plus one broad bond fund in your own currency, with you deciding the balance, should give return and diversification.
- ***Are there superior asset classes left?*** Not many, as everyone knows everything nowadays, with new knowledge on what assets have high Sharpe ratios spreading rapidly and getting arbitrated in no time.
- ***What kind of bonds belong to your strategic bond holdings?*** Bonds in your own currency, and credit, with safe government debt only appropriate for those with low tolerance to shorter-term drawdowns.
- ***How to resolve the entry point problem?*** The current price/IRR of an asset class is the best predictor of long-term returns but can change daily and would thus regularly change your SAA. An investor who does not use external managers will have to integrate TAA with SAA, while having to decide which they are better at.
- ***Do Commodities belong in your SAA?*** Not really, as they lack income. But they do have hedging and trading value.
- ***The extraordinary value of keeping it simple.*** Simple portfolios with few assets and basic valuation and investment rules make it easier to understand one's risk and lower the time and cost of managing money, without giving up much return.
- ***Is Climate Change now in the price?*** It is beginning to be priced in, more in pushing up green asset prices than in pushing down the price of assets located in areas at high risk of extreme weather damage. It is not too late to invest on Climate Change.
- ***Why care about high government debt/GDP ratios?*** We should in principle focus on interest payments to GDP – flow-to-flow – which still looks OK in the US. But it is better to look at debt/GDP ratios when bond yields move quickly.
- ***Is $r < g$ enough to give us debt sustainability?*** No, as low interest rates in turn induce governments to overspend and overborrow.
- ***Can we forecast inflation and real asset returns over the long term?*** Not really, as inflation that far out depends on the average output gap, which we can't forecast. We can forecast nominal returns reasonably, but if we can't project inflation over the next decade, we cannot be precise about future real returns.
- ***What are our top long-term risks?*** Climate Change outranks any other risk. Lower, but still meaningful, are US/China, uncontrolled US debt rises, and AI. Most risks will push interest rates and volatility higher but the dollar lower.
- ***How does higher macro vol affect where you take risk?*** To us, it means, at the margin, more tactical risk taking and less strategic.

Long-term Strategy

Jan Loeys ^{AC}

(1-917) 602-9440
jan.loeys@jpmorgan.com

Alexander Wise

(1-212) 622-6205
alexander.c.wise@jpmchase.com
J.P. Morgan Securities LLC

See page 9 for analyst certification and important disclosures.

1. How many assets do you really need in your long-term portfolio?

In principle, you do not really need more than two: a global equity fund and a broad bond fund in your own currency, with the relative amounts a function of your return needs, ability to withstand short-term drawdowns, and need to control long-term risk on your ultimate portfolio. This gives you very good diversification, clarity and simplicity on what you are holding, and high liquidity with minimum costs if held through passive funds, mutual or exchange traded (ETFs).

One could argue that your bond fund should be global, but that would add foreign-currency risk that is generally not well compensated. If you then have strong views on what asset types, countries, or sectors to have more of than is in these broad funds – say you fancy Technology – you can simply add a Tech ETF to these two funds. It is harder when there are certain assets you want to have less of, or not have at all – say oil companies. You would need a fund that excludes oil companies, but that may not exist if there are not enough investors like you who do not want to hold oil. If such a fund does not exist, then you will have to build a portfolio bottom up by trying to buy all the other sectors, for which funds will surely not all be available. Hence, it is much easier to execute overweights than underweights in a simple portfolio. **In short, you will do quite well with holding only two funds: a global equity one and a local bond one.**

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

2. Are there any superior assets left that you should systematically overweight in a strategic portfolio?

We used to think so, yes. The Empirical Finance literature has found troves of high-Sharpe ratio assets that have high returns to risk and thus lie above the standard risk-return trade-off line of local-currency bonds and global equities, which is the standard of a simple, well-diversified portfolio. If markets are perfectly open, global, and frictionless, such superior assets should not exist, because everyone will buy them, bidding up their price and pushing down their likely future return, until they have been brought down to the global risk-return trade-off line, and are no longer a superior asset class. The opposite happens for assets with inferior returns to risk as nobody will buy them, pushing down their price until they move back up in return. We thus need market inefficiencies, as we call them, typically brought on by market segmentation, to produce superior assets.

We always thought this was the Holy Grail of strategic investing. And for years, we joined the search and testing of these

high-Sharpe assets, in Value, Small Caps, Momentum, High Buybacks, and Fallen Angels, to name just a few (see the library of past issues of *The Long-term Strategist* at the end of this note). But it has gradually been dawning on us the last few years that the majority of these show a fading pattern of outperformance, doing well decades ago, but then not doing any better than the broad markets over the past 10 years or so. A most plausible explanation is that “everyone knows everything” nowadays and has access to the same broad Finance Literature. Academic researchers after all are paid to get their results published and not to hoard them. As all this information spread out and markets became ever more global, the excess returns on these high Sharpe assets almost all dissipated.

Hence, **we are now starting from a belief that there are very few superior asset classes left** and that you might as well just stick to a simple portfolio of a global equity ETF and a bond fund in your own currency. We will make an exception within fixed income in the query below, as there remain real segmentations in world markets by base currency, investment horizon, and tax rates, that will make certain bonds produce better returns to risk, as experienced by the investors, than other bonds.

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

3. What kind of bonds should be in your strategic fixed income allocation?

In equities, we think you should start with a global fund, to get maximum diversification within the asset class. But that is probably not the case for fixed income. Most investors will want some fixed income to limit short-term drawdowns and to get some diversification. **Foreign-currency bonds do not help** in this regard because of the extra volatility from currency changes. One could argue that a sell-off in domestic assets should also depress the currency and thus create gains on foreign currency holdings, but we are not convinced such offsets are stable and can be relied upon. We do see a strategic case to include foreign-currency bonds when one’s own bond market is very small and illiquid and one’s currency is pegged, or stable against one with a much larger and more liquid bond market.

Within one’s own currency bond market, there is similarly the question whether one should be exposed to all bonds issued in one’s currency, or only some part of it. US municipal bonds, e.g., are clearly not for everyone as most are not taxable and they thus pay a low yield that makes no sense for an investor who does not have to pay US taxes.

For different reasons, one can question whether a truly long-term investor should hold **government bonds** as these typically pay much lower yields than corporate bonds with a yield difference that is most of the time well in excess of long-term losses due to default. Government bonds do provide one advantage over credit in that the former have a much lower correlation with equities – frequently negative – than credit and thus provide better diversification, especially during recessions when equities can perform quite poorly. The investor who is quite sensitive to temporary drawdowns or is required by regulation to hold government debt does have a strong case to include default-free government debt in their bond portfolio. The investor who does not care much about short-term volatility and only about how much their portfolio will be worth years out when they need the money has no systematic need for safe bonds.

In short, we think **your basic strategic bond portfolio should be in your own currency and include government bonds only to the extent you are sensitive to shorter-term market volatility. The rest should be corporate bonds.**

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com).

4. How to resolve the entry point problem?

Our most objective and accurate forecasts for what returns you can expect for any asset class over the long term depend predominantly on its current internal rate of return (IRR), which combines today's asset price with what is known of its income (promised coupons on bonds or earnings/dividends for equities). The problem is that tomorrow's price will likely be different from today's. Hence, tomorrow, our expected long-term return on an asset class can be different from the one we calculate today. Should this then not change our strategic asset allocation (SAA) daily? This clearly **clashes with the notion of your SAA as the stable anchor allocation that one should stick to over the long run.**

How does one resolve this conundrum? One approach is simply to only adjust your SAA on an annual, or bi-annual basis and thus ignore intervening price movements, to keep a cleaner separation between TAA and SAA. A **second approach** is to use a floating entry point to calculate future returns and to use, say, the average IRR over the past year or quarter instead of today's, which means that your expected return will not move much from day to day. You can then stick to reviewing your SAA only on an irregular basis. Neither seems quite right as you are ignoring the most relevant information on future returns, which is today's price.

A **third approach**, and the one I prefer, is to integrate value-based changes in your holdings with what is called tactical investing to make it, simply, "no-labels" investing. Any

changes in your asset allocation would be a **combination of changes based on long-term expected returns and expected shorter-term movements in markets.** These two signals could easily clash as tactical moves are generally more momentum driven, while SAA changes should be based on value and are thus really anti-momentum. When an asset's price is cheapening, the long-term investor will want to hold more, but the momentum-driven one may want to sell instead as what goes down tends to go down further, at least in the short run. Which will dominate should depend on the strength of each signal, and how good you consider yourself on making short- versus long-term calls. This will at times lead to a certain inertia in one's trading where an asset class going down in price versus others may lead you to want to sell on negative momentum, but your long-term calculations tell you the asset is now cheap and your SAA wants to hold more. This may seem like the proverbial "bunny frozen in the headlights," not knowing what to do, but is quite rational when you are receiving opposing signals.

This is not to say that a separation between strategic decisions makes no sense. It is most appropriate when there is **more than one decision maker** involved: the ultimate owner of the money and the asset manager hired to manage typically one part of the money around a strategic benchmark chosen by the ultimate owner. **Combining short- and long-term signals, or strategic and tactical considerations, make most sense when they are decided by the same owner of the money.**

One major **caveat** in this combo approach is that the investor must be honest and **clear about where their knowledge really lies.** The danger is that many of us tend to over-rate our ability to call the market short term. It is our perception that the most successful investors over time tend to be the ones that base their decisions on what they can be quite confident about, which is generally the yield/value of an asset or asset class and its historical long-term relative performance. Hence, a "realistic" individual investor is in our mind probably best off sticking with long-term value-based allocation and to ignore the temptation to trade the market on short-term beliefs. The general perception that "retail" tends to buy high, after a market has rallied for some time, and sell low, after that asset class has gone through severe losses, would be consistent with many of us overrating our trading skills. To leave tactical trading to the professionals is probably not bad advice.

In short, the entry-point problem is that your SAA depends on expected long-term returns that depend on the IRRs of asset classes, which can change day to day, implying more frequent changes in SAA than is consistent with its objective of serving as a stable anchor to one's investments. For the

individual investor who makes her own decisions on how to invest, this suggests merging tactical and long-term value considerations into one combined approach. The larger investor who uses professional asset managers for different parts of their holdings will more likely want to stay with the TAA/SAA separation and only make SAA changes on an infrequent basis.

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

5. Do commodities belong in a strategic portfolio?

In principle, No. Commodities are “stuff” and not financial assets like bonds and equities that generate income from coupons or dividends. Most investors hold commodity exposure through futures that can earn income from rolling from the expired to next contract. However, over the very long run, this roll income has been more negative than positive.

Commodity prices are **very volatile** and, yes, they can, in the short run, produce gains that beat financial assets. They are thus a good asset for trading, but to invest in them longer term, you need to have reason for them to produce a return competitive with equities, which have about the same volatility. With our valuation-based models projecting ~7.0% annual return on the S&P 500 for the coming decade, commodities with no income need to double in price over the next 10 years to be competitive.

Over the last 30 years, the commodity complex has earned only 1.3% pa in dollars, less than any other major asset class. But their huge volatility and sustained medium-term momentum did produce 10-year periods of double-digit gains such the 1970s when oil prices rose tenfold, or the pre-GFC decade when China entering the WTO pushed up the broad commodity price complex by a factor of 4. It is not impossible that oil, which is the main component of broad commodity indices, doubles in price in the coming decade, but it requires an active view that many could disagree with.

If not on straight return, **can commodities be a good diversifier, or hedge against long-term risks?** Simply by historical experience, not really. Commodities have a positive correlation with equities, ~0.4, unlike the negative correlation of US bonds with US equities, and bonds at least pay decent income nowadays.

Commodities do correlate positively with inflation, which threatens real returns on assets, but this correlation and thus hedging value are about the same for real estate, which again at least produces good income.

That said, we can see some strategic value for exposure to **food prices** and to metals important for the move to renewable energy in case of an acceleration of climate change and adverse weather events.

In short, without direct income from holding commodities, **they are not a good fit for your strategic portfolio, although they have value for tactical trading and as a hedge against extreme climate change.**

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

6. The extraordinary value of keeping things simple

Our industry does seem to love complexity and to abhor simplicity. The more complex the financial world is seen to be, the more managers, analysts, traders, consultants, regulators, and risk managers feel they add value and expect to be paid. But there is a lot of benefit to the ultimate buyers of financial services and products to keep things simple.

For one, one should not buy assets that are too complex to be easily understood as the risk is then that the asset will not be appropriate for one's financial objectives. Second, the fewer the assets one has in one's portfolio, the **easier it is to judge risk** on them, the easier it is to gauge one's exposure, the easier it is to manage one's portfolio, and the **less time it takes**.

Time is indeed money. And probably the greatest benefit of simpler products is that they are **cheaper**, in terms of management fees and the costs of buying and selling them. Simpler products that are well understood by everybody will likely also be more liquid. The simplest investing rules, like “buy and hold” and do not move assets around much, are also easier to follow, save on taxes and other transaction costs, and reduce the trap all of us are at risk of falling into, which is to sell when markets have been going down a lot and to buy when they have been going up (i.e., the risk of buying high and selling low). Finally, we have found that the **simplest valuation rules**, like using an asset class IRR, bond yields and equity yields, or mean reversion in real exchange rates, have had a much better record in judging future long-term returns than more complex systems.

Overall, then, we feel that **keeping things simple in finance, fewer assets, simple valuation rules, simple investment rules, is an underrated strategy** and one that too few of us actively pursue as the mainstay of their strategic allocation.

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

7. Is climate change now in the price, as everyone knows that it is serious reality?

Should Climate Change by now not be priced, and is it then not too late to start or still be investing on this theme? After all, everyone by now should know about Global Warming, even if there is some disagreement about its causes and whether we can do something about it. And indeed, we do find that scientists' forecasts for rises in temperature and sea levels made from the 1970s on are pretty much on track 50 years later, when looking at the trend rises in each. However, scientists' forecasts are not always followed closely by investors, nor are they necessarily believed as many may think of them as Cassandras that should have more faith in the ability of the capitalist system to find solutions to problems like global warming, when given an incentive to do so.

Investors do not have to be ignorant, short-sighted, or irrational for having ignored these risks in past decades. **It is hard for markets and companies to price in events one to two generations out**, or to make very long-term investments, even if they could be of huge impact, as discounting them to the present makes them usually of much smaller value than the here and there of the present.

Still, when we look at research on whether Climate Change is priced in, we find **some evidence** here and there that the market is by now starting to look at which assets will gain, and which will lose from accelerating climate change. But we think we are very far from fully pricing in Climate Change, probably because many have been thinking for some time that this remains a far-into-the-future event that new technologies will be able to address.

As a broad generalization, there seems to be **more upside than downside priced in from Climate Change** as buying a particular "green" asset in a specialized long-only Climate fund will push its price up more than not buying others that will be hurt, mostly because there are many more of the "others." One frequently hears that green assets have become quite expensive.

On the downside, with Climate Change creating greater extremes in weather that do massive damage around the world, one would expect that assets, such as real estate, located in areas with higher risk of damage from flooding, hurricanes, tornadoes, wildfires, drought, or mud slides would be priced much lower than those with lower such risks.

Again, we see a little here, mostly driven by higher costs of insuring one's property in high-risk areas, but overall, not that much. There is some evidence that sovereign borrowers and municipalities in high weather risk areas now must pay a risk

premium over those with lower such risk.¹ But we have not seen a dramatic enough repricing of real estate by weather risk as Americans have in recent years on net migrated *toward* areas with higher risk of adverse weather, rather than away from it.² Much of this is probably because the federal government and a number of states have been taking measures to protect property owners from the escalating cost of insuring against the damage from extreme weather events.

In short, we **do not think that Climate Change is fully in the price yet** and are most worried about assets located in higher risk areas do not seem to have priced in that much yet.

Watch the video on jpmm.com, or on [LinkedIn](#).

8. Why do we care about a high debt-to-GDP ratio?

A high government debt-to-GDP ratio is frequently seen as a dangerous development that merits concern for long-term investors. We do not disagree, but for a different reason than is usually argued. One of the first lessons we learn in Economics is **not to compare a stock with a flow**. Only compare flow with flow or stock with stock. Debt is a stock measure of outstanding liabilities. GDP is a flow measure of economic activity during a year. Hence, they do not compare properly. Better to compare debt with the present value of future GDP flows – both are stock measures – **or the flow of interest payments on debt with GDP** – two flow measures. Each of these ratios involve interest rates, in the former as a discount rate to calculate the PV of future GDP. And over the past decade, with real interest rates on government debt almost always in negative territory, neither of these flow or stock measures by themselves gives much reason for concern for the largest advanced economies.

In principle, if a government can borrow at a negative real rate of interest, any public investment with zero real return is worth pursuing. Admittedly, much of recent deficit spending was not really on public investment. And government did use cheap funding indeed to run up spending and cut taxes, **with**

1. In [Pricing of climate risk in financial markets: A summary of the literature](#), BIS Papers No. 130, Dec 2022, Eren et al. conclude that "While studies find that these risks are starting to be priced, concerns are growing that current prices do not fully reflect the risks."

2. See e.g., [Migration towards environmentally risky areas: a consequence of the pandemic](#), Freddie Mac, Nov 9, 2022; [Americans are flocking to wildfire: A US migration story](#), Dec 8, 2022; [Redfin reports migration into America's most flood-prone areas has more than doubled since the start of pandemic](#), July 24, 2023.

the US raising its total federal debt held by the public from ~40% to ~100% of GDP the last 15 years.

Even today, the US government is paying less than inflation on its debt. What is to worry then? The reason we should indeed worry is that interest rates can be quite volatile, as we have seen the last year and a half, and do not really mean revert but can keep on going and going. And they can change a lot faster than governments can change their spending and revenues. Hence, when a government, or a private entity for that matter, uses the good times of cheap money to load up on debt, any sustained rise in interest rates can quickly raise interest payments, raising outstanding debt further, which makes investors more worried, making them demand a high interest rate, and so on, with a borrower falling into a **doom loop** of higher rates creating more debt that pushes rates up even higher, producing a debt crisis. That is indeed how Emerging Markets borrowers have gotten into trouble time and time again.

The maturity of US federal debt has averaged about 5.5 years over the past few decades and is today only slightly higher. It thus does not take that many years for higher rates to push up the total cost of federal debt. That is why we and the US CBO are quite worried about the inability of the US Congress to curtail spending or raise taxes or voters not electing people to Congress that credibly commit to cut the deficit. **We thus see this looming US debt crisis as a force to keep pushing US – and global – interest higher over the coming decade.**

Watch the video on [jpmm.com](https://www.jpmm.com), or on [LinkedIn](#).

9. Is economic growth exceeding the level of real interest rates enough to produce debt sustainability?

In the classical models, $r < g$ is all you need to reduce debt-to-GDP, but it comes with two major caveats that have recently been reality. One is that it requires a government to have a primary budget balance, that is, a balanced budget excluding interest payments. That has surely not been the case in the US, because of caveat number 2, which is that governments should not be using the ability to borrow at low interest rates to load up on debt, which is exactly the understandable temptation. Hence, do not try to judge debt sustainability of government by comparing its real cost of borrowing with the growth rate of its economy, as a lower rate than the growth of its economy is exactly the time when a government will want to load up on more debt.

Watch the video on [jpmm.com](https://www.jpmm.com), or on [LinkedIn](#).

10. Can we forecast long-term inflation and real returns?

Well, **not really**. We have tried and have not come up with anything quantitative that works for inflation. And that is probably because theory tells us that inflation rises when the economy is operating above full capacity and falls when it is operating below. But over the next 10 years, one ought to assume that at least on average, the economy will be operating at capacity.

We have models to forecast economic growth, but how do we know that is faster or slower than its potential? Our profession is not very good at forecasting changes in the supply side of the economy. Since 1979, the US *Blue Chip* Consensus of some 50-60 US economists have overpredicted US inflation 10-years out, each time by an average of $\frac{3}{4}\%$.³

Our Chief Economist, Bruce Kasman, always reminds me that over the long run, [inflation is a policy choice](#), but how do we then forecast what choices will be made by policy makers and the voters and broad society that appoint them? Are we still in a world where central banks are almost exclusively focused on inflation, or will jobs growth, financial stability, and government borrowing costs get a larger weight than they have the past few decades? We think so but can't give you a model on this and thus can't say "You have to believe me." We think about an average rate of 3% in the US for the next decade, with US inflation breakevens giving us only about 2 $\frac{1}{4}\%$.⁴

What does this mean for forecasting the long-term real return on asset portfolios? Real is nominal minus inflation. We can reasonably project nominal returns 10 years out, but if we can't do inflation, that leaves us up the creek, as they say. Many pension funds have a real return target, frequently $\sim 4\% +$ inflation, as in Canada and Australia. We think it is tough on them. We can see why they hold a lot of real estate and infrastructure where revenues are indexed to inflation, but for the main part of their bond and equity holdings, they will simply have to make an "assumption" on inflation.

In short, **No, we do not think we can reliably forecast long-term inflation and real asset returns.**

Watch the video on [LinkedIn](#).

3. See our paper on this in [Long-term economic growth forecasts](#), Oct 10, 2022.

4. For more details on this view, see the Macro risk cluster discussed in our long-term risk paper: [Top long-term risks and what to do about them](#), July 18, 2023.

26 September 2023

11. Top long-term risks

You all must receive at the start of each year strategists' lists of that year's Top Risks. We had a good look at what we see as top long-term risks that can affect markets over the coming decade. There is some overlap with the usual short-term risks, but most are quite different.

None of these risks will be unknown to you, and markets already price in the average investor's views of their odds, timing, and impact. But in many cases, we think they have higher odds and more adverse impacts than is priced in. Ordered from most impactful to markets to least, for us they come from **Climate Change and the destruction of Nature, worsening US/China tensions, a Tech/AI boom, aging populations, weakened inflation control, DM government debt crises, domestic polarization, and post-GFC market structure.**

The majority of these risks, Tech excluded, by our reckoning, bring **higher interest rates, upward pressures on prices/inflation, a weaker dollar, lower growth, EM underperformance, and higher macro and market volatility and risk premia.** This world benefits the active investor. If realized and more extreme than expected, these forces will likely give us somewhat lower nominal returns on the global bond and equity market than their current prices suggest. But most of the impact would be on the different performance of countries, regions, sectors, and asset classes.

Investors concerned about these longer-term risks should overweight bonds up to five-year maturities, cost-efficient macro-active managers, domestic banks, Value, Tech, inexpensive green/sustainable assets, agriculture prices, and inflation linkers against global benchmarks and should underweight longer-duration bonds, JPY, SEK and CHF bonds, the US dollar, P&C insurers, and assets located in areas with higher flood, heat, fire, storm, or droughts risks, which includes a lot of EM.

Watch the video on [jpmm.com](https://www.jpmm.com), or on [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com). Read the [paper](#).

12. Does higher macro volatility tell you anything about where you should take risk?

How does Macro volatility affect where and when you should be taking risk? We have been arguing to you that we are likely in a period of sustained higher macro volatility than we have seen in previous decades, likely caused by instability of the central bank's control functions – NAIRU, r^* , the Phillips curve – some de-globalization, a probable return of the dual mandate of the Federal Reserve, making it less exclusively focused on purely stabilizing inflation, and raising the

focus on jobs.⁵

To us, that gives higher, short-dated macro volatility, also market volatility, and that ought to translate into higher long-term uncertainty too – although not one for one. Hence, the long-term investor, seeing somewhat higher long-term risks, should be less purely focused in equities. More tactical investors seeing higher short-dated volatility now at least have the necessary condition in place, not by itself a sufficient one, to make better returns from tactical risk-taking. As we see already, hedge funds have done very well here.

So, we conclude by putting it a very simply: **higher macro volatility gives you more tactical risk-taking, less strategic. We would call it less SAA, more TAA.**

Watch the video on [jpmm.com](https://www.jpmm.com), or on [LinkedIn](https://www.linkedin.com).

[Library of past issues](#)

[The debate on the long-term outlook for real interest rates](#), August 2, 2023

[Top long-term risks and what to do about them](#), July 18, 2023

[The de-dollarization risk scenario](#), June 16, 2023

[Real yields along the US curve: Long-term forecasts](#), March 13, 2023

[Real bond yields in DM: Long-term projections](#), February 21, 2023

[Long- versus short-term risk](#), February 1, 2023

[Industrial policy, deglobalization and strategic asset allocation](#), January 27, 2023

[Long-term forecasts: Update January 2023](#), January 6, 2023

[Forecasting long-term US equity returns with a neural network](#), November 29, 2022

[Where are we in Regime Change? Macro volatility, deglobalization, and secular rise in real yields](#), November 8, 2022

[Long-run economic growth forecasts](#), October 10, 2022

[Bigger questions, shorter answers](#), June 21, 2022

5. See [Where are we in Regime Change? Macro volatility, deglobalization, and secular rise in yields](#), Nov 8, 2022.

[What to do with 60/40?](#), June 16, 2022

[How good are long-term forecasts?](#), June 14, 2022

[Long-term forces point to higher US bond yields](#), April 4, 2022

[A demographic reversal to start pushing real interest rates up](#), March 2, 2022

[Eight clips on strategic questions](#), February 17, 2022

[US Equity, Bond and USD long-term returns after the repricing](#), February 16, 2022

[Is thematic investing worth it?](#), January 18, 2022

[Long-Term FX forecasts](#), December 14, 2021

[Democracy metrics and equity markets](#), October 21, 2021

[Inflation, markets and the end of the Great Moderation](#), September 27, 2021

[Commodity-linked assets as a long-run inflation hedge](#), July 28, 2021

[Will US market exceptionalism last?](#), June 24, 2021

[Short As to long-term Qs](#), April 19, 2021

[Our strategic portfolio](#), March 5, 2021

[Empirical models of long-term US equity returns](#), March 1, 2021

[Can EM save 60 40](#), December 2, 2020

[Business Concentration](#), September 29, 2020

[Fallen Angel and Buybacks: Strategy Update 2020](#), September 28, 2020

[60/40 in a zero-yield world](#), June 30, 2020

[De-globalization Update 2020](#), April 23, 2020

[Some longer-term consequences of the Covid-19 crisis](#), April 9, 2020

[Zero US yields, almost there](#), March 11, 2020

[Why long term?](#), February 25, 2020

[Bonds time diversify much better than you think](#), February 14, 2020

[Financial repression, risk aversion and zero yields](#), January 24, 2020

[Why invest in Climate Change?](#), December 10, 2019

[Do BBs still offer better returns?](#), October 3, 2019

[Buybacks and the investor](#), July 18, 2019

[What if US joins the Zero-Yield World?](#), July 12, 2019

[Climate change investing](#), May 30, 2019

[De-globalization](#), April 5, 2019

[Small Caps: A Strategic Overweight](#), February 15, 2019

[Will Value Come Back?](#), November 16, 2018

[Politics and markets, long term](#), November 6, 2018

[Strategic questions on EM allocations](#), September 28, 2018

[The Value of Income](#), June 15, 2018

[Health Care: A Strategic Sector OW](#), May 11, 2018

[What returns can we expect?](#), April 13, 2018

[First thoughts](#), February 26, 2018

Disclosures

Analyst Certification: The Research Analyst(s) denoted by an “AC” on the cover of this report certifies (or, where multiple Research Analysts are primarily responsible for this report, the Research Analyst denoted by an “AC” on the cover or within the document individually certifies, with respect to each security or issuer that the Research Analyst covers in this research) that: (1) all of the views expressed in this report accurately reflect the Research Analyst’s personal views about any and all of the subject securities or issuers; and (2) no part of any of the Research Analyst’s compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed by the Research Analyst(s) in this report. For all Korea-based Research Analysts listed on the front cover, if applicable, they also certify, as per KOFIA requirements, that the Research Analyst’s analysis was made in good faith and that the views reflect the Research Analyst’s own opinion, without undue influence or intervention.

All authors named within this report are Research Analysts who produce independent research unless otherwise specified. In Europe, Sector Specialists (Sales and Trading) may be shown on this report as contacts but are not authors of the report or part of the Research Department.

Company-Specific Disclosures: Important disclosures, including price charts and credit opinion history tables, are available for compendium reports and all J.P. Morgan–covered companies, and certain non-covered companies, by visiting <https://www.jpmm.com/research/disclosures>, calling 1-800-477-0406, or e-mailing research.disclosure.inquiries@jpmorgan.com with your request.

A history of J.P. Morgan investment recommendations disseminated during the preceding 12 months can be accessed on the Research & Commentary page of <http://www.jpmorganmarkets.com> where you can also search by analyst name, sector or financial instrument.

Analysts' Compensation: The research analysts responsible for the preparation of this report receive compensation based upon various factors, including the quality and accuracy of research, client feedback, competitive factors, and overall firm revenues.

Other Disclosures

J.P. Morgan is a marketing name for investment banking businesses of JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries and affiliates worldwide.

UK MIFID FICC research unbundling exemption: UK clients should refer to [UK MIFID Research Unbundling exemption](#) for details of JPMorgan’s implementation of the FICC research exemption and guidance on relevant FICC research categorisation.

Any long form nomenclature for references to China; Hong Kong; Taiwan; and Macau within this research material are Mainland China; Hong Kong SAR (China); Taiwan (China); and Macau SAR (China).

J.P. Morgan Research may, from time to time, write on issuers or securities targeted by economic or financial sanctions imposed or administered by the governmental authorities of the U.S., EU, UK or other relevant jurisdictions (Sanctioned Securities). Nothing in this report is intended to be read or construed as encouraging, facilitating, promoting or otherwise approving investment or dealing in such Sanctioned Securities. Clients should be aware of their own legal and compliance obligations when making investment decisions.

Any digital or crypto assets discussed in this research report are subject to a rapidly changing regulatory landscape. For relevant regulatory advisories on crypto assets, including bitcoin and ether, please see <https://www.jpmorgan.com/disclosures/cryptoasset-disclosure>.

The author(s) of this research report may not be licensed to carry on regulated activities in your jurisdiction and, if not licensed, do not hold themselves out as being able to do so.

Exchange-Traded Funds (ETFs): J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (“JPMS”) acts as authorized participant for substantially all U.S.-listed ETFs. To the extent that any ETFs are mentioned in this report, JPMS may earn commissions and transaction-based compensation in connection with the distribution of those ETF shares and may earn fees for performing other trade-related services, such as securities lending to short sellers of the ETF shares. JPMS may also perform services for the ETFs themselves, including acting as a broker or dealer to the ETFs. In addition, affiliates of JPMS may perform services for the ETFs, including trust, custodial, administration, lending, index calculation and/or maintenance and other services.

Options and Futures related research: If the information contained herein regards options- or futures-related research, such information is available only to persons who have received the proper options or futures risk disclosure documents. Please contact your J.P. Morgan Representative or visit <https://www.theocc.com/components/docs/riskstoc.pdf> for a copy of the Option Clearing Corporation's Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options or http://www.finra.org/sites/default/files/Security_Futures_Risk_Disclosure_Statement_2018.pdf for a copy of the Security Futures Risk Disclosure Statement.

Changes to Interbank Offered Rates (IBORs) and other benchmark rates: Certain interest rate benchmarks are, or may in the future become, subject to ongoing international, national and other regulatory guidance, reform and proposals for reform. For more information, please consult: https://www.jpmorgan.com/global/disclosures/interbank_offered_rates

Private Bank Clients: Where you are receiving research as a client of the private banking businesses offered by JPMorgan Chase & Co. and its subsidiaries (“J.P. Morgan Private Bank”), research is provided to you by J.P. Morgan Private Bank and not by any other division of J.P. Morgan,

including, but not limited to, the J.P. Morgan Corporate and Investment Bank and its Global Research division.

Legal entity responsible for the production and distribution of research: The legal entity identified below the name of the Reg AC Research Analyst who authored this material is the legal entity responsible for the production of this research. Where multiple Reg AC Research Analysts authored this material with different legal entities identified below their names, these legal entities are jointly responsible for the production of this research. Research Analysts from various J.P. Morgan affiliates may have contributed to the production of this material but may not be licensed to carry out regulated activities in your jurisdiction (and do not hold themselves out as being able to do so). Unless otherwise stated below, this material has been distributed by the legal entity responsible for production. If you have any queries, please contact the relevant Research Analyst in your jurisdiction or the entity in your jurisdiction that has distributed this research material.

Legal Entities Disclosures and Country-/Region-Specific Disclosures:

Argentina: JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A Sucursal Buenos Aires is regulated by Banco Central de la República Argentina (“BCRA” - Central Bank of Argentina) and Comisión Nacional de Valores (“CNV” - Argentinian Securities Commission” - ALYC y AN Integral N°51). **Australia:** J.P. Morgan Securities Australia Limited (“JPMSAL”) (ABN 61 003 245 234/AFS Licence No: 238066) is regulated by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission and is a Market, Clearing and Settlement Participant of ASX Limited and CHI-X. This material is issued and distributed in Australia by or on behalf of JPMSAL only to “wholesale clients” (as defined in section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001). A list of all financial products covered can be found by visiting <https://www.jpmm.com/research/disclosures>. J.P. Morgan seeks to cover companies of relevance to the domestic and international investor base across all Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) sectors, as well as across a range of market capitalisation sizes. If applicable, in the course of conducting public side due diligence on the subject company(ies), the Research Analyst team may at times perform such diligence through corporate engagements such as site visits, discussions with company representatives, management presentations, etc. Research issued by JPMSAL has been prepared in accordance with J.P. Morgan Australia’s Research Independence Policy which can be found at the following link: [J.P. Morgan Australia - Research Independence Policy](#). **Brazil:** Banco J.P. Morgan S.A. is regulated by the Comissao de Valores Mobiliarios (CVM) and by the Central Bank of Brazil. Ombudsman J.P. Morgan: 0800-7700847 / ouvidoria.jp.morgan@jpmorgan.com. **Canada:** J.P. Morgan Securities Canada Inc. is a registered investment dealer, regulated by the Canadian Investment Regulatory Organization and the Ontario Securities Commission and is the participating member on Canadian exchanges. This material is distributed in Canada by or on behalf of J.P.Morgan Securities Canada Inc. **Chile:** Inversiones J.P. Morgan Limitada is an unregulated entity incorporated in Chile. **China:** J.P. Morgan Securities (China) Company Limited has been approved by CSRC to conduct the securities investment consultancy business. **Dubai International Financial Centre (DIFC):** JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Dubai Branch is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority (DFSA) and its registered address is Dubai International Financial Centre - The Gate, West Wing, Level 3 and 9 PO Box 506551, Dubai, UAE. This material has been distributed by JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Dubai Branch to persons regarded as professional clients or market counterparties as defined under the DFSA rules. **European Economic Area (EEA):** Unless specified to the contrary, research is distributed in the EEA by J.P. Morgan SE (“JPM SE”), which is subject to prudential supervision by the European Central Bank (“ECB”) in cooperation with BaFin and Deutsche Bundesbank in Germany. JPM SE is a company headquartered in Frankfurt with registered address at TaunusTurm, Taunustor 1, Frankfurt am Main, 60310, Germany. The material has been distributed in the EEA to persons regarded as professional investors (or equivalent) pursuant to Art. 4 para. 1 no. 10 and Annex II of MiFID II and its respective implementation in their home jurisdictions (“EEA professional investors”). This material must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not EEA professional investors. Any investment or investment activity to which this material relates is only available to EEA relevant persons and will be engaged in only with EEA relevant persons. **Hong Kong:** J.P. Morgan Securities (Asia Pacific) Limited (CE number AAJ321) is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong, and J.P. Morgan Broking (Hong Kong) Limited (CE number AAB027) is regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission in Hong Kong. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Hong Kong Branch (CE Number AAL996) is regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the Securities and Futures Commission, is organized under the laws of the United States with limited liability. Where the distribution of this material is a regulated activity in Hong Kong, the material is distributed in Hong Kong by or through J.P. Morgan Securities (Asia Pacific) Limited and/or J.P. Morgan Broking (Hong Kong) Limited. **India:** J.P. Morgan India Private Limited (Corporate Identity Number - U67120MH1992FTC068724), having its registered office at J.P. Morgan Tower, Off. C.S.T. Road, Kalina, Santacruz - East, Mumbai – 400098, is registered with the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) as a ‘Research Analyst’ having registration number INH000001873. J.P. Morgan India Private Limited is also registered with SEBI as a member of the National Stock Exchange of India Limited and the Bombay Stock Exchange Limited (SEBI Registration Number – INZ000239730) and as a Merchant Banker (SEBI Registration Number - MB/INM000002970). Telephone: 91-22-6157 3000, Facsimile: 91-22-6157 3990 and Website: <http://www.jpnipl.com>. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. - Mumbai Branch is licensed by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) (Licence No. 53/ Licence No. BY.4/94; SEBI - IN/CUS/014/ CDSL : IN-DP-CDSL-444-2008/ IN-DP-NSDL-285-2008/ INBI00000984/ INE231311239) as a Scheduled Commercial Bank in India, which is its primary license allowing it to carry on Banking business in India and other activities, which a Bank branch in India are permitted to undertake. For non-local research material, this material is not distributed in India by J.P. Morgan India Private Limited. Compliance Officer: Spurthi Gadamsetty; spurthi.gadamsetty@jpmchase.com; +912261573225. Grievance Officer: Ramprasad K, jpnipl.research.feedback@jpmorgan.com; +912261573000.

Investment in securities market are subject to market risks. Read all the related documents carefully before investing. Registration granted by SEBI and certification from NISM in no way guarantee performance of the intermediary or provide any assurance of returns to investors.

Indonesia: PT J.P. Morgan Sekuritas Indonesia is a member of the Indonesia Stock Exchange and is registered and supervised by the Otoritas Jasa Keuangan (OJK). **Korea:** J.P. Morgan Securities (Far East) Limited, Seoul Branch, is a member of the Korea Exchange (KRX). JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Seoul Branch, is licensed as a branch office of foreign bank (JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.) in Korea. Both entities are regulated by the Financial Services Commission (FSC) and the Financial Supervisory Service (FSS). For non-macro research material, the

material is distributed in Korea by or through J.P. Morgan Securities (Far East) Limited, Seoul Branch. **Japan:** JPMorgan Securities Japan Co., Ltd. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Tokyo Branch are regulated by the Financial Services Agency in Japan. **Malaysia:** This material is issued and distributed in Malaysia by JPMorgan Securities (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd (18146-X), which is a Participating Organization of Bursa Malaysia Berhad and holds a Capital Markets Services License issued by the Securities Commission in Malaysia. **Mexico:** J.P. Morgan Casa de Bolsa, S.A. de C.V. and J.P. Morgan Grupo Financiero are members of the Mexican Stock Exchange and are authorized to act as a broker dealer by the National Banking and Securities Exchange Commission. **New Zealand:** This material is issued and distributed by JPMSAL in New Zealand only to "wholesale clients" (as defined in the Financial Markets Conduct Act 2013). JPMSAL is registered as a Financial Service Provider under the Financial Service providers (Registration and Dispute Resolution) Act of 2008. **Pakistan:** J. P. Morgan Pakistan Broking (Pvt.) Ltd is a member of the Karachi Stock Exchange and regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan. **Philippines:** J.P. Morgan Securities Philippines Inc. is a Trading Participant of the Philippine Stock Exchange and a member of the Securities Clearing Corporation of the Philippines and the Securities Investor Protection Fund. It is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission. **Russia:** CB J.P. Morgan Bank International LLC is regulated by the Central Bank of Russia. **Singapore:** This material is issued and distributed in Singapore by or through J.P. Morgan Securities Singapore Private Limited (JPMSS) [MCI (P) 030/08/2023 and Co. Reg. No.: 199405335R], which is a member of the Singapore Exchange Securities Trading Limited, and/or JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Singapore branch (JPMCB Singapore), both of which are regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. This material is issued and distributed in Singapore only to accredited investors, expert investors and institutional investors, as defined in Section 4A of the Securities and Futures Act, Cap. 289 (SFA). This material is not intended to be issued or distributed to any retail investors or any other investors that do not fall into the classes of "accredited investors," "expert investors" or "institutional investors," as defined under Section 4A of the SFA. Recipients of this material in Singapore are to contact JPMSS or JPMCB Singapore in respect of any matters arising from, or in connection with, the material. As at the date of this material, JPMSS is a designated market maker for certain structured warrants listed on the Singapore Exchange where the underlying securities may be the securities discussed in this material. Arising from its role as a designated market maker for such structured warrants, JPMSS may conduct hedging activities in respect of such underlying securities and hold or have an interest in such underlying securities as a result. The updated list of structured warrants for which JPMSS acts as designated market maker may be found on the website of the Singapore Exchange Limited: <http://www.sgx.com>. **South Africa:** J.P. Morgan Equities South Africa Proprietary Limited and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Johannesburg Branch are members of the Johannesburg Securities Exchange and are regulated by the Financial Services Board. **Taiwan:** J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited is a participant of the Taiwan Stock Exchange (company-type) and regulated by the Taiwan Securities and Futures Bureau. Material relating to equity securities is issued and distributed in Taiwan by J.P. Morgan Securities (Taiwan) Limited, subject to the license scope and the applicable laws and the regulations in Taiwan. According to Paragraph 2, Article 7-1 of Operational Regulations Governing Securities Firms Recommending Trades in Securities to Customers (as amended or supplemented) and/or other applicable laws or regulations, please note that the recipient of this material is not permitted to engage in any activities in connection with the material that may give rise to conflicts of interests, unless otherwise disclosed in the "Important Disclosures" in this material. **Thailand:** This material is issued and distributed in Thailand by JPMorgan Securities (Thailand) Ltd., which is a member of the Stock Exchange of Thailand and is regulated by the Ministry of Finance and the Securities and Exchange Commission, and its registered address is 3rd Floor, 20 North Sathorn Road, Silom, Bangrak, Bangkok 10500. **UK:** Unless specified to the contrary, research is distributed in the UK by J.P. Morgan Securities plc ("JPMS plc") which is a member of the London Stock Exchange and is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. JPMS plc is registered in England & Wales No. 2711006, Registered Office 25 Bank Street, London, E14 5JP. This material is directed in the UK only to: (a) persons having professional experience in matters relating to investments falling within article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) (Order) 2005 ("the FPO"); (b) persons outlined in article 49 of the FPO (high net worth companies, unincorporated associations or partnerships, the trustees of high value trusts, etc.); or (c) any persons to whom this communication may otherwise lawfully be made; all such persons being referred to as "UK relevant persons". This material must not be acted on or relied on by persons who are not UK relevant persons. Any investment or investment activity to which this material relates is only available to UK relevant persons and will be engaged in only with UK relevant persons. Research issued by JPMS plc has been prepared in accordance with JPMS plc's policy for prevention and avoidance of conflicts of interest related to the production of Research which can be found at the following link: [J.P. Morgan EMEA - Research Independence Policy](#). **U.S.:** J.P. Morgan Securities LLC ("JPMS") is a member of the NYSE, FINRA, SIPC, and the NFA. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. is a member of the FDIC. Material published by non-U.S. affiliates is distributed in the U.S. by JPMS who accepts responsibility for its content.

General: Additional information is available upon request. The information in this material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable. While all reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the facts stated in this material are accurate and that the forecasts, opinions and expectations contained herein are fair and reasonable, JPMorgan Chase & Co. or its affiliates and/or subsidiaries (collectively J.P. Morgan) make no representations or warranties whatsoever to the completeness or accuracy of the material provided, except with respect to any disclosures relative to J.P. Morgan and the Research Analyst's involvement with the issuer that is the subject of the material. Accordingly, no reliance should be placed on the accuracy, fairness or completeness of the information contained in this material. There may be certain discrepancies with data and/or limited content in this material as a result of calculations, adjustments, translations to different languages, and/or local regulatory restrictions, as applicable. These discrepancies should not impact the overall investment analysis, views and/or recommendations of the subject company(ies) that may be discussed in the material. J.P. Morgan accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from any use of this material or its contents, and neither J.P. Morgan nor any of its respective directors, officers or employees, shall be in any way responsible for the contents hereof, apart from the liabilities and responsibilities that may be imposed on them by the relevant regulatory authority in the jurisdiction in question, or the regulatory regime thereunder. Opinions, forecasts or projections contained in this material represent J.P. Morgan's current opinions or judgment as of the date of the material only and are therefore subject to change without notice. Periodic updates may be provided on companies/industries based on company-specific developments or announcements, market conditions or any other publicly available information. There can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with any such opinions, forecasts or projections, which

Jan Loeyes ^{AC} (1-917) 602-9440
jan.loeyes@jpmorgan.com
J.P. Morgan Securities LLC
Alexander Wise (1-212) 622-6205
alexander.c.wise@jpmchase.com

Global Long-term Strategy
The Long-term Strategist
26 September 2023

J.P.Morgan

represent only one possible outcome. Furthermore, such opinions, forecasts or projections are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that have not been verified, and future actual results or events could differ materially. The value of, or income from, any investments referred to in this material may fluctuate and/or be affected by changes in exchange rates. All pricing is indicative as of the close of market for the securities discussed, unless otherwise stated. Past performance is not indicative of future results. Accordingly, investors may receive back less than originally invested. This material is not intended as an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any financial instrument. The opinions and recommendations herein do not take into account individual client circumstances, objectives, or needs and are not intended as recommendations of particular securities, financial instruments or strategies to particular clients. This material may include views on structured securities, options, futures and other derivatives. These are complex instruments, may involve a high degree of risk and may be appropriate investments only for sophisticated investors who are capable of understanding and assuming the risks involved. The recipients of this material must make their own independent decisions regarding any securities or financial instruments mentioned herein and should seek advice from such independent financial, legal, tax or other adviser as they deem necessary. J.P. Morgan may trade as a principal on the basis of the Research Analysts' views and research, and it may also engage in transactions for its own account or for its clients' accounts in a manner inconsistent with the views taken in this material, and J.P. Morgan is under no obligation to ensure that such other communication is brought to the attention of any recipient of this material. Others within J.P. Morgan, including Strategists, Sales staff and other Research Analysts, may take views that are inconsistent with those taken in this material. Employees of J.P. Morgan not involved in the preparation of this material may have investments in the securities (or derivatives of such securities) mentioned in this material and may trade them in ways different from those discussed in this material. This material is not an advertisement for or marketing of any issuer, its products or services, or its securities in any jurisdiction.

Confidentiality and Security Notice: This transmission may contain information that is privileged, confidential, legally privileged, and/or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the information contained herein (including any reliance thereon) is STRICTLY PROHIBITED. Although this transmission and any attachments are believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free and no responsibility is accepted by JPMorgan Chase & Co., its subsidiaries and affiliates, as applicable, for any loss or damage arising in any way from its use. If you received this transmission in error, please immediately contact the sender and destroy the material in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. This message is subject to electronic monitoring: <https://www.jpmorgan.com/disclosures/email>

MSCI: Certain information herein ("Information") is reproduced by permission of MSCI Inc., its affiliates and information providers ("MSCI") ©2023. No reproduction or dissemination of the Information is permitted without an appropriate license. MSCI MAKES NO EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS) AS TO THE INFORMATION AND DISCLAIMS ALL LIABILITY TO THE EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW. No Information constitutes investment advice, except for any applicable Information from MSCI ESG Research. Subject also to [msci.com/disclaimer](https://www.msci.com/disclaimer)

"Other Disclosures" last revised August 05, 2023.

Copyright 2023 JPMorgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved. This material or any portion hereof may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of J.P. Morgan.

Completed 25 Sep 2023 07:23 PM EDT

Disseminated 26 Sep 2023 09:30 AM EDT